

REMARKS

The Examiner is thanked for the careful examination of the application. However, in view of the following remarks, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the outstanding rejections.

Claims 1-25 are pending. By this Amendment, claims 4, 5, 7, and 14 are amended and new claims 22-25 are added. Support for new claims 22-25 can be found throughout Applicant's specification and in particular at paragraph [0043].

The Office Action rejects claims 1, 2, 8, 10, 12 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,154,755 to Dellert et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,625,334 to Shiota et al. and U.S. Patent No. 6,069,715 to Wang, claims 3, 4, 6, 9, 11 and 13-15 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Dellert, Shiota and Wang and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,680,226 to Takayanagi, claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Dellert, Shiota, Wang and Takayanagi and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,148,331 to Parry, claims 7 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Dellert, Shiota and Wang and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,011,590 to Saukkonen and claims 18-21 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Dellert and Shiota and further in view of Takayanagi. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 is directed to an image processing device including an image reader for reading a document image and generating an image data thereof. A file of the image data is given a file name and registered with a location in a recording media. A detector detects a reading condition in reading the document image. The reading condition includes location data identifying the location of the file in the recording media. An extractor extracts a specific image data from the image data. A generator generates an index data including the specific image data and a reading

condition data. The reading condition data includes the location data. A printer prints an image in accordance with the index data including the location data.

Claim 8 is directed to an image data handling system including, *inter alia*, a transmitting device for transmitting index data to a printing device. A printing device includes a receiving device for receiving the index data.

Claim 10 is directed to an image data handling system including, *inter alia*, an image input device and a data processing device. The data processing device includes a receiving device for receiving the image data and the reading condition data, an extractor, a generator and a transmitting device for transmitting generated image data to the image input device.

Claim 12 is directed to, *inter alia*, a program stored on a computer readable medium. Claim 17 is directed to, *inter alia*, an image data handling method and claim 18 is directed to, *inter alia*, an image data handling device.

With respect to independent claims 1, 8, 10, 12 and 17, neither Dellert, Shiota nor Wang, disclose or suggest printing index data including the data related to the location. With respect to claim 18, neither Dellert, Shiota nor Wang, disclose or suggest an output device that outputs the index data including the data related to the location.

The Examiner recognizes that Dellert does not disclose the image reader reads in a document image and that the index data includes data related to the destination. The Examiner relies on Shiota for allegedly teaching printing location data identifying the location of a file in the recording media. However, no data, printed or output, relates to the location, as in amended independent claims 1, 8, 10, 12, 17 and 18.

As described in Shiota at the paragraph beginning at line 28 of column 6, the file name or characters and symbols corresponding to the file name may be printed on the front or back of the print 12 or under each frame of an index print 10.

Alternatively, a bar code 11 might be printed thereon instead of the character code

13. Shiota does not disclose printing location data, nor is the file name the same as the location data. The Examiner states that the file name of the image is the location of the image data. However, the claims recite these features separately.

For explanatory purposes Applicant provides the following non-limiting example described in the as-filed specification beginning at paragraph [0043].

Destination data may include the name of equipment containing the storage device where the image data is stored, for example //copier01/guest/doc1.tif. As shown in Figure 5, the printed index data includes the recitation of a destination data which may indicate the location data. This allows a user to easily identify image data stored in a storage device without causing any increase of workload. Thus, Shiota does not disclose the feature of printing the location data.

Takayanagi, Parry and Saukkonen did not provide the deficiencies of Dellert and Wang described above.

The remaining dependent claims are allowable for at least the reasons discussed above with respect to the independent claims as well as for the individual features they recite, for example, new claims 22-25 each recite in which said location data is defined by a name of an apparatus containing the recording media where the image data is registered. Withdrawal of the rejection of the dependent claims is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing remarks, the Examiner is respectfully urged to reconsider and withdraw the outstanding rejections.

In the event that there are any questions concerning this response, or the application in general, the Examiner is respectfully urged to telephone the undersigned attorney so that prosecution of this application may be expedited.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

Date: July 11, 2008

By: 

Michael Britton

Registration No. 47260

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404
703 836 6620